# Math 255B Lecture 6 Notes

### Daniel Raban

January 17, 2020

## 1 Consequences of Analytic Fredholm Theory

### 1.1 Analytic Fredholm theory

Last time, we were proving the analytic Fredholm theory.

**Theorem 1.1** (analytic Fredholm theory). Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be open and connected, and let  $T(z) \in \mathcal{L}(B_1, B_2)$  for  $z \in \Omega$  be a holomorphic family of Fredholm operators. Assume that there exists a  $z_0 \in \Omega$  such that  $T(z_0) : B_1 \to B_2$  is bijective. Then the set

$$\Sigma = \{ z \in \Omega : T(z) \text{ is not bijective} \}$$

 $is \ discrete.$ 

*Proof.* Let  $z_1 \in \Omega$ . Then there is a neighborhood  $N(z_1)$  of  $z_1$  such that for every  $z \in N(z_1)$ , the Grushin operator

$$\mathcal{P}_{z_1}(z) = \begin{bmatrix} T(z) & R_-(z) \\ R_+(z) & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is bijective with the inverse

$$\mathcal{E}_{z_1}(z) = \begin{bmatrix} E(z) & E_+(z) \\ E_-(z) & E_{-+}(z) \end{bmatrix} : B_2 \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n_0} \to B_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n_0}.$$

We claim that for  $z \in N(z_1)$ ,  $T(z) : B_1 \to B_2$  is bijective  $\iff E_{-+}(z) : \mathbb{C}^{n_0} \to \mathbb{C}^{n_0}$  is bijective.<sup>1</sup> Check:

$$\begin{bmatrix} T & R_{-} \\ R_{+} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} E & E_{+} \\ E_{-} & E_{-+} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \implies TE + R_{-}E_{-} = 1, TE_{+} + R_{-}E_{-+} = 0.$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>What we lose from this reduction is that if T(z) has some simple dependence of z (e.g. polynomial),  $E_{-+}(z)$  may not have a simple dependence. In some contexts, the operator  $E_{-+}$  is called the effective Hamiltonian.

If  $E_{-+}^{-1}$  exists, then  $R_{-} = -TE_{+}E_{-+}^{-1}$ , so

$$T(E - E_{-}E_{-+}^{-1}E_{-}) = 1.$$

So  $T^{-1}$  exists and

$$T^{-1}(z) = E(z) - E_{+}(z)E_{-+}(z)^{-1}E_{-}(z).$$

Using that  $\mathcal{EP} = 1$ , so  $E_{-}R_{-} = 1$  and  $E_{-}T + E_{-+}R_{+} = 0$ , we get  $T^{-1}$  exists  $\implies E_{-+}$  exists.

We get for  $z \in N(z_1)$  that T(z) is invertible if and only if det  $E_{-+}(z) \neq 0$ . The function det  $E_{-+}(z)$  is holomorphic on  $N(z_1)$ . So either det  $E_{-+}(z) \equiv 0$ , or det  $E_{-+}(z) \neq 0$  in a punctured neighborhood of  $z_1$ . Let  $\Omega_1 = \{z \in \Omega : T(z') \text{ is invertible } \forall z' \neq z \text{ near } z\}$  and  $\Omega_2 = \{z \in \Omega : T(z') \text{ is not invertible } \forall z' \neq z \text{ near } z\}$ . Then each  $\Omega_j$  is open,  $\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2 = \Omega$ , and  $\Omega_1 \neq \emptyset$  (as  $z_0 \in \Omega_1$ ). Since  $\Omega$  is connected,  $\Omega_1 = \Omega$  and thus,  $\Sigma = \{z \in \Omega : T(z) \text{ is not invertible}\}$  is discrete.

**Remark 1.1.** The map  $\Omega \setminus \Sigma \to \mathcal{L}(B_2, B_1)$  sending  $z \mapsto T(z)^{-1}$  is holomorphic. Consider  $T(z)^{-1}$  for z in a punctured neighborhood of  $w \in \Sigma$ : We have

$$T^{-1}(z) = E(z) - E_{+}(z)E_{-+}(z)^{-1}E_{-}(z),$$

where  $E, E_+, E_-$  are all holomorphic in a neighborhood of w. We have that

$$E_{-+}(z)^{-1} = \frac{\text{holomorphic near } w}{\det E_{-+}(z)},$$

so we have a Laurent expansion

$$E_{-+}(z)^{-1} = \frac{R_{-N_0}}{(z-w)^{N_0}} + \dots + \frac{R_{-1}}{z-w} + \operatorname{Hol}(z),$$

where  $1 \leq N_0 < \infty$  and the  $R_j$  are of finite rank. Combining these formulas, we get that  $z \mapsto T(z)^{-1}$  has a pole of order  $N_0$  at z = w:

$$T(z)^{-1} = \frac{A_{-N_0}}{(z-w)^{N_0}} + \dots + \frac{A_{-1}}{z-w} + Q(z), \qquad Q(z) \text{ holomorphic near } w,$$

where for  $1 \leq j \leq N_0$ , the  $A_{-j} \in \mathcal{L}(B_2, B_1)$  n be expressed in terms of  $R_{-N_0}, \ldots, R_{-1}$  and are therefore of finite rank.

### **1.2** Application: the residue of the resolvent

Here is an example/special case of the analytic Fredholm theory.

Assume that  $B_1 \subseteq B_2$  with continuous inclusion, and let T(z) = T - z for  $z \in \Omega$ , where T is some operator. Assume that T(z) is Fredholm for each z and that  $T(z_0)^{-1}$  exists for some  $z_0 \in \Omega$ . We get a Laurent expansion for the resolvent  $(T - z)^{-1}$  at  $w \in \Sigma$ :

$$(z-T)^{-1} = \frac{A_{-N_0}}{(z-z_0)^{N_0}} + \dots + \frac{A_{-1}}{z-w} + Q(z), \qquad Q(z)$$
 holomorphic near  $w$ .

for  $0 < |z - w| \ll 1$ .

**Proposition 1.1.** The operator  $\Pi := A_{-1}$  is a projection<sup>2</sup> on  $B_2$  which commutes with T (on  $B_1$ ).

*Proof.* Integrate the Laurent expansion along  $\gamma_r = \partial D(w, r)$  for  $0 < r \ll 1$ . Then

$$\Pi = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_r} (z - T)^{-1} dz$$

We claim that  $\Pi^2 = \Pi$ : Let  $0 < r_1 < r_2 \ll 1$ , and write

$$\Pi^{2} = \int_{\gamma_{r_{2}}} \int_{\gamma_{r_{1}}} (z - T)^{-1} (\tilde{z} - T)^{-1} \frac{dz}{2\pi i} \frac{d\tilde{z}}{2\pi i}$$

Using  $(\tilde{z} - T)^{-1} - (z - T)^{-1} = (\tilde{z} - T)^{-1}(z - \tilde{z})(z - T)^{-1}$ , we have

$$= \int_{\gamma_{r_2}} \int_{\gamma_{r_1}} \frac{1}{\widetilde{z} - z} (z - T)^{-1} \frac{dz}{2\pi i} \frac{d\widetilde{z}}{2\pi i} - \int_{\gamma_{r_2}} \int_{\gamma_{r_1}} \frac{1}{\widetilde{z} - z} (\widetilde{z} - T)^{-1} \frac{dz}{2\pi i} \frac{d\widetilde{z}}{2\pi i}$$

The second term is 0 by applying the Cauchy integral formula on the inner integral.

So we get

$$\Pi^2 = \int_{\gamma_{r_1}} \underbrace{\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_{r_2}} \frac{1}{\widetilde{z} - z} d\widetilde{z}}_{=1} (z - T)^{-1} \frac{dz}{2\pi i} = \Pi.$$

**Remark 1.2.** We know that  $T(\operatorname{Ran}\Pi) \subseteq \operatorname{Ran}\Pi \subseteq B_1$ , where  $\operatorname{Ran}\Pi$  is finite dimensional, and let us check that  $(T - z_0)|_{\operatorname{Ran}\Pi}$  is nilpotent:

$$(T-z_0)\Pi = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_r} (T-z_0)(z-T)^{-1} dz$$
  
=  $\underbrace{\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_r} (T-z)(z-T)^{-1} dz}_{=0} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_r} (z-z_0)(z-T)^{-1} dz}_{=0}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>This is sometimes called the Riesz projection.

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_r} (z - z_0) (z - T)^{-1} dz.$$

It follows that

$$(T-z_0)^j \Pi = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_r} (z-z_0)^j (z-T)^{-1} dz.$$

And if  $j = N_0$ , we get  $(T - z_0)^{N_0} \Pi = 0$ , as  $(z - z_0)^{N_0} (z - T)^{-1}$  is holomorphic.